

Re: opinions on store and mailbox limits

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Exchange/microsoft.public.exchange.admin/2005-03/3347.html>

From: rockymtn (sschaefer_at_monigle.com)

Date: 03/22/05

Date: Tue, 22 Mar 2005 10:10:24 -0700

thanks everyone, I appreciate your feedback. Bottom line is that I'm going to set my mailbox limits as low as I can get away with. And over time I'm going to force the users with the biggest stores to reduce. I'm going to shoot for a maximum mailbox size of 1 GB and enforce that.

With the autoarchive features in outlook 2003 I don't see any reason that mailboxes have to be any larger. The problem comes in putting a process in place to back up the archives. I may run a script for the 10 or so VIP's that will copy archive pst files to a network drive.

"rockymtn" <sschaefer@monigle.com> wrote in message
news:%231rbNMjLFHA.2420@TK2MSFTNGP12.phx.gbl...

> I'd like to get a few opinions regarding our exchange server.

>

> *Brief history:*

>

> *win2k3/enterprise exchange2k3 installed January, 2 GB ram, 100 GB D: drive*

> *12 GB C: drive.*

>

> *We are 60 users in a graphics environment so the priv.edb is around 30 GB.*

> *Mailbox limits are set at 1 GB. We have about 10 users at or above the 1*

GB

> *limit.*

>

> *We got Enterprise so we could have a store larger than 16 GB, to accomodate*

> *our graphics environment. Recently I've been told that we will have*

> *problems because basically the purpose of Enterprise is to accomodate many*

> *users with relatively small mail stores, whereas we have the opposite. A*

> *few users with relatively large mail stores. Are we asking for eventual*

> *trouble here?*

>

> *Do I really need to manage this differently and keep the priv.edb closer*

to

> *the 16 GB limit in the standard edition?*

>

> *Thanks for your time,*

microsoft.public.exchange.admin: Re: opinions on store and mailbox limits

>
> *Stan*
>
>
>
>
>