

microsoft.public.exchange.admin: Re: Priv1.edb and stm size cannot reduce after eseutil /d

## Re: Priv1.edb and stm size cannot reduce after eseutil /d

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Exchange/microsoft.public.exchange.admin/2004-03/1622.html>

---

**From:** Michael Barta [MSFT] ([mbarta\\_at\\_online.microsoft.com](mailto:mbarta_at_online.microsoft.com))

**Date:** 03/09/04

Date: Tue, 9 Mar 2004 15:57:10 -0600

If the name was mistyped, it would mount with blank a database. It would have prompted you first though.

If you receive new messages to those remain visible?  
Can you view the old messages with a POP3 client or OWA?

--

Michael Barta [MSFT]  
Microsoft Exchange Support  
Please do not send e-mail directly to this alias. This alias is for newsgroup purposes only.  
This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no rights.  
"Calvin" <[kg\\_it\\_guy@yahoo.ca](mailto:kg_it_guy@yahoo.ca)> wrote in message  
news:eJ9FK5hBEHA.2828@TK2MSFTNGP10.phx.gbl...  
> Yes the path is correct, there is no way I can fit another copy on the  
> drive, that is actually the reason why I try to defrag it in the first  
> place. Well, it was four o'clock by the time I got the defrag copy on to  
> the drive, if I had mistype the name, would the services start again? If  
> not, could any of the switch for the eseutil produce this type of result?  
>  
>  
> "Michael Barta [MSFT]" <[mbarta@online.microsoft.com](mailto:mbarta@online.microsoft.com)> wrote in message  
> news:uxRXGMhBEHA.3024@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...  
> > I have never heard of a defrag causing this type of an issue.  
> > If you search for priv.edb do you have another in a different location?  
> > If you look in the registry, is it pointing to the correct location?  
> >  
> >  
>  
HKEY\_LOCAL\_MACHINE\System\CurrentControlSet\Services\MSExchangeIS\Parameters  
> > Private\ DB Path  
> >  
> > If you receive new messages to those remain visible?  
> > Can you view the old messages with a POP3 client or OWA?  
> >  
> > --  
> > Michael Barta [MSFT]  
> > Microsoft Exchange Support  
> >  
> > Please do not send e-mail directly to this alias. This alias is for  
> > newsgroup purposes only.  
> > This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no

microsoft.public.exchange.admin: Re: Priv1.edb and stm size cannot reduce after eseutil /d

```
> rights.
> >
> > "Calvin" <kg_it_guy@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
> > news:OyovXsgBEHA.3348@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...
> > > I deleted the original priv.edb file, copied the temp file over and
> > renamed
> > > it to priv.edb.
> > >
> > > In that directory I also see an edb.chk and a tmp.edb file.
> > >
> > > "Michael Barta [MSFT]" <mbarta@online.microsoft.com> wrote in message
> > > news:uqIoSdgBEHA.2628@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...
> > > > When you copied the tempdfrg.edb file from K: back to the local
> > > machine
> > > did
> > > > you change the name of it back to priv.edb?
> > > >
> > > > If you look in the database location do you have more than the
> > > priv.edb
> > > and
> > > > pub.edb database files?
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Michael Barta [MSFT]
> > > > Microsoft Exchange Support
> > > >
> > > > Please do not send e-mail directly to this alias. This alias is for
> > > > newsgroup purposes only.
> > > > This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no
> > > > rights.
> > > >
> > > > "Calvin" <kg_it_guy@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
> > > > news:eL%23y7JgBEHA.1604@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...
> > > > Thank you for your quick response. Because the current exchange
> > > server
> > > is
> > > > low in disk space, I used a network mapped drive(say K:\) for
> > > temporary
> > > file
> > > > storage. I refer to the example in MS KB article 192185 for
> > > reference,
> > > the
> > > > actual command I issued from K drive was :
> > > "c:\winnt\system32\eseutil
> > > /d
> > > > /ispriv /tk:\temppriv.edb /p"
> > > > Although I specified the temppriv.edb, tempdfrg.edb was created on
K
> > > drive.
> > > > When the defrag was completed, I replace the priv.edb with this one.
> > > I
> > > > started all the services back up and log on, there were no message
in
> > > any
> > > of
> > > > the directories. Where did I go wrong?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Michael Barta [MSFT]" <mbarta@online.microsoft.com> wrote in
message
> > > > news:OQ3l6qfBEHA.2308@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...
> > > > > A defrag should not have deleted any messages. Did you run any
```

```
other
> > > > switches?
> > > >
> > > > Are the messages visible with any other clients?
> > > > POP3, OWA, etc?
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Michael Barta [MSFT]
> > > > Microsoft Exchange Support
> > > >
> > > > Please do not send e-mail directly to this alias. This alias is
for
> > > > newsgroup purposes only.
> > > > This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers
no
> > > > rights.
> > > >
> > > > "Calvin" <kg_it_guy@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
> > > > news:OASvFhfBEHA.2600@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...
> > > > > Question for Michael, after many hours of defragging the
database
> > and
> > > > > bringing it online, database shrunk from 13GB to 12GB but all
> > messages
> > > > > except the directory structure are all gone. Any idea Michael?
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Horace" <aa@bb.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:#J3qbwsBEHA.2600@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...
> > > > > > Thank you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Michael Barta [MSFT]" <mbarta@online.microsoft.com> |b1lYó
> > > > > > news:%23zMkacSBEHA.3776@tk2msftngpl3.phx.gbl ¼¼¼g...
> > > > > > > You should wait for online maintenance to complete after
> > deleting
> > > > > large
> > > > > > amounts of messages. If you delete 3-4Gigs of mail and run
the
> > > > > defrag
> > > > > > before
> > > > > > > online maintenance marks the free space, you will not
recover
> > that
> > > > > > space.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You will see 1221 events in the application log that will
tell
> > you
> > > > how
> > > > > > much
> > > > > > > space the offline defrag will recover. Once you see the 3-4
> > gigs
> > > > > listed
> > > > > > in
> > > > > > > the 1221, the offline defrag will reduce in size.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You can also adjust the online maintenance schedule on the
> > > > > > > properties
> > > > > > of
> > > > > > > the
```

