

# Re: Efficiency re: Module Sequence in a Project feb08

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Excel/microsoft.public.excel.programming/2008-02/msg03762.html>

---

- *From:* "Jim Cone" <[jim.coneXXX@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jim.coneXXX@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 25 Feb 2008 10:37:07 -0800
- 

Neal,

Standard modules are sorted alphabetically by name in the VBE. Perhaps you are looking at the modules behind each sheet instead of standard modules which are created by using Insert | Module?

--

Jim Cone

San Francisco, USA

<http://www.realezsites.com/bus/primitivesoftware>

(Excel Add-ins / Excel Programming)

"Neal Zimm"

wrote in message

HI All,

I'm a beginning developer for my first, and a pretty big addin. (over 100 modules) Perhaps you can save me some time in putting a timer on what's below.

I've noticed that the physical sequence of modules is as you insert them into the project and not by how you name them. I have NO clue how Excel accesses the modules and the macros within them.

Within a month, I'll be moving the code to a "QA" project .xla file and will have the chance to resequence the top to bottom module order.

Re: best practices, are there significant efficiencies to be gained if:

1. Global public variables, record types, are in the modules at "the top" ?
2. The most used Sub's and Functions are in the "earlier" modules ?
3. Should Public Type XXXXX be in the same module as the code which uses them the most, or doesn't it matter ? (That's where they are now to use the "split screen" function of the VBA editor.)

Other tips would be appreciated.

Thanks

Re: Efficiency re: Module Sequence in a Project feb08

—  
Neal Z