

Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....

to the pickle.

Now if you learned C# and the .NET Framework and sold hosted applications you could enjoy the benefits of 341 as the trend for web development is as I've stated as the use of asynchronous JavaScript XML is written in legacy C syntax and grammar allowing a developer to optimize their time. That's really all 341 is about. The consolidation of one's time by using a single syntax and grammar for both server-side and client-side development.

VB.NET is just as useful as C# on the server but what of all of the client-side JavaScript that must be learned and mastered? There's a division of labor in that regard that I contend is greatly reduced or goes away entirely when using C# rather than VB.NET and with the trend towards an asynchronous application architecture the 341 philosophy will likely become a matter of greater concern to a greater number of developers that consider 341 in the context I have put forth.

<%= Clinton Gallagher

"ProfitMaxTrading.com" <nospam@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:F4Q6f.5939\\$xE1.2886@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:F4Q6f.5939$xE1.2886@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

> "Are you familiar with what Microsoft calls the Smart Client?"

>

> No. Here's a bit more background as it appears to help me get a bearing on
> which way to go.

>

> My career has been mostly in hardware troubleshooting and putting together
> large and small scale systems. Over the years they kept getting smaller
> and smaller as you know (desktops). So during the latter period of my
> career I started self-learning 'C' and wrote just a small number of simple
> applications. Using Borland C. Also during this time I learned Delphi and
> may have written a small program or two. Never became an expert in either.
> Delved into C++ when it was being touted as the 'better C' with OOP and
> all. Now I'm not sure what the deal is with OOP.

>

> In 1994 I was introduced to VB4 and have been in that camp since then...up
> to the present with VB6. The prior languages seem now to be a distant
> memory. I am not able to just pop out a 'C' app quickly like I used to be
> able to do. Delphi is even more a passing memory.

>

> Since 1996 I retired from the computer field to concentrate full-time in
> Market Forecasting, having developed some extraordinary forecasting
> programs that are powerful and unique. So of course I continue to program
> (in VB) to put my ideas into data producing applications. When I have a
> theory about the markets I wish to test out, I can QUICKLY put together a
> VB application to do it. I'd say that VB is certainly a RAD environment
> without a doubt.

>

> But technology is moving along quickly and I feel I'm losing touch with
> it. Although my career path is much different now, I still have a passion

Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....

Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....

> for computers and mostly on the programming side. Going back to 1973, it
> remains in your blood as they say.
>
> So I guess all my questions these last few days is trying to find the best
> route to take that will allow me to stay sharp in the programming realm.
> Your 3 for 1 post started my thinking along these lines because there just
> isn't enough time in the day to learn everything the hard way. If I can
> learn something that will make learning other important concepts or
> languages much easier, that is what I want. Perhaps I will or will not
> need to deviate from VB6. I do not know. But I do want to learn new
> things.
>
> But Clinton, I do have some things I need that does go with my business.
> For one, PROGRAM SIZE and PROGRAM SPEED are two very big issues for me.
> You see, my clients have to download my programs from my website. They do
> not all have high-speed internet service (several older clients are using
> the slow dial-up). In addition, some of my applications are data
> intensive. They churn and churn, crunch and crunch, and we are left having
> to wait for the results to pop on the screen. So SPEED is another
> important issue.
>
> Now I do not recall whether C would produce smaller code or not than VB. I
> kind of recall the argument some years back that once you have the runtime
> on your machine, all you need is the small .exe from VB. C on the other
> hand produces a bigger .exe file. This still the case? Or am I mistaken to
> begin with?
>
> When I programmed in 'C' back in early 90's, I used Borland. So I did not
> have Visual C and never have tried it. Naturally plopping a form on the
> screen and placing controls on it attracted me with Delphi and then VB
> because now I'm putting out apps faster than before. But did I sacrifice
> speed? Did I sacrifice program size?
>
> I've said alot above (sorry). Do you still feel that VB.NET is the 3 for 1
> direction for what I need now and in the future (in your opinion)?
>
> TIA
>
> Rick
>
>
>
>
> "clintonG" <csgallagher@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> news:eJTa4m51FHA.460@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>> <snip />
>>
>> Oh, now that I understand more about your specific background and your
>> current skill set perhaps the best strategy would be migrating from VB to
>> VB.NET after all. I would consider it a smart move to go through the .NET
>> Framework learning curve using the VB syntax and grammar you are familiar

Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....

Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....

>> with. Are you familiar with what Microsoft calls the Smart Client?

>>

>> <%= Clinton Gallagher

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>

>

.

• **References:**

- ◆ **[Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**
 ◇ From: clintonG
- ◆ **[Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**
 ◇ From: Scott M.
- ◆ **[Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**
 ◇ From: clintonG
- ◆ **[Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**
 ◇ From: ProfitMaxTrading.com
- ◆ **[Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**
 ◇ From: ProfitMaxTrading.com
- ◆ **[Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**
 ◇ From: clintonG
- ◆ **[Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**
 ◇ From: ProfitMaxTrading.com

• Prev by Date: **[Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**

• Next by Date: **[Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**

• Previous by thread: **[Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**

• Next by thread: **[Re: Killing VB softly with his song, Killing VB softly...with a song.....](#)**

• Index(es):

◆ **[Date](#)**

◆ **[Thread](#)**