

Re: Go ahead. Stop programming. This ensures you from any mistakes.

# Re: Go ahead. Stop programming. This ensures you from any mistakes.

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp/2007-06/msg01529.html>

---

- *From:* Jon Skeet [C# MVP] <[skeet@xxxxxxxx](mailto:skeet@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 9 Jun 2007 19:15:31 +0100
- 

valentin tihomirov <[V\\_tihomirov@xxxxxxxx](mailto:V_tihomirov@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Right – and likewise, the only way it seems that you'll stop being cross about things that C# stops you from doing is to stop using C#.

.. and invent my own language+OS and ... if aI do not like the decisions made by men in power.

Yes, it's a silly idea – just like your suggestion of "Stop programming" is, IMO. That was my point.

Well, I'm sure I'm not the only one who's been bitten by accidental fallthrough in switch statements in C. As I said, I would prefer a more radical overhaul of switch/case, but I'd rather have what we've got than a version which has all the ugliness of the current version but with the added problem of fallthrough.

If you were bitten for omitting a statement, it does not mean that this statement must be incorporated into the grammar.

It suggests that it wouldn't be a bad idea. I like ideas that mean I make fewer mistakes, if they don't constrain me too much – and disallowing fallthrough has hardly ever constrained me at all.

As Ben pointed out, you can always use goto case if you really want the effect of fallthrough.

Would you like to have the effect to a series of your program statements by emulating the "fallthrough" by explicit goto after every statement? As Bob <http://www.bobcongdon.net/blog/2003/12/c-switch-statement.html> points it

Re: Go ahead. Stop programming. This ensures you from any mistakes.

Re: Go ahead. Stop programming. This ensures you from any mistakes.

out, C# designers should introduce a "safe" must-break-switch rather than "improving" the switch by disallowing fall-through and then adding the goto junk.

So then you really wouldn't be able to do what you want \*at all\*. How is that better for you? If you don't like using the "goto" then just don't – I know I don't.

I really don't care that much whether C# allows the use of "goto" or not, given that I don't use it – but it seems odd to complain that the language doesn't let you do something, and then complain that you can do it but you don't happen to like the syntax.

--

Jon Skeet – <skeet@xxxxxxxx>

<http://www.pobox.com/~skeet> Blog: [http://www.ms\\_mvps.com/jon.skeet](http://www.ms_mvps.com/jon.skeet)

If replying to the group, please do not mail me too

.