

# Re: Confused about proc vs. dynamic SQL vs LINQ

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp/2007-05/msg00039.html>

---

- *From:* "Frans Bouma [C# MVP]" <[perseus.usenetNOSPAM@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:perseus.usenetNOSPAM@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 01 May 2007 01:31:43 -0700
- 

Jon Skeet [C# MVP] wrote:

See <http://weblogs.asp.net/fbouma/archive/2003/11/18/38178.aspx> for more details "against" stored procs.

For a more clearer overview:

[http://weblogs.asp.net/fbouma/archive/2006/05/26/Yay\\_2100\\_-\\_A\\_new\\_Stored\\_Proc\\_vs.\\_Dyn.\\_Sql\\_battle\\_2100\\_.aspx](http://weblogs.asp.net/fbouma/archive/2006/05/26/Yay_2100_-_A_new_Stored_Proc_vs._Dyn._Sql_battle_2100_.aspx)

The 'procs are bad, m'kay?' article was a bit tongue in cheek as well, so please read

[http://www.theserverside.net/news/thread.tss?thread\\_id=31953#158113](http://www.theserverside.net/news/thread.tss?thread_id=31953#158113) which is more serious.

Procs aren't all bad of course, Jon gave a great example when they're useful:

" 2) When the stored proc needs to do a lot of work with the data but doesn't actually need much of the data to come out of the other end, thus vastly reducing network traffic."

FB

---

---

Lead developer of LLBLGen Pro, the productive O/R mapper for .NET

LLBLGen Pro website: <http://www.llblgen.com>

My .NET blog: <http://weblogs.asp.net/fbouma>

Microsoft MVP (C#)

---

.