

Re: Package and deploy in .NET

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp/2006-12/msg02650.html>

- *From:* "Tee" <khmer_lovers@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 14 Dec 2006 12:37:39 +1300
-

Thanks for the reply

Anyway i have to take one of the suggested as there is no other way around this.

"sloan" <sloan@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:O8uRkQwHHHA.3952@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

You don't necessarily have to include it, but they have to have it.

There's no free lunch.

If you want to run a .Net 2.0 application, the client machine has to have the framework (2.0).

They can either:

1. Have it already.
2. Install it from windows update or microsoft.com
3. You provide it.

Pick your poison.

"-xx-" <khmer_lovers@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:u9NoeKwHHHA.924@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Hi,

I have an application written in C# .NET 2005 using .NET framework v2.0,

the

Re: Package and deploy in .NET

execute file is only 500KB and it used two third party DLLs, all added up to round about 1MB.

My question is when i distribute my application to friends do i have to include .NET framework v2.0 as part to of the setup. I don't really want

to

include .NET framework v2.0 because it increases my final executable

install

file dramatically. From 1.5MB to 25MB because the framework v2 is 22+MB.

Is there anyway i can get my application running on my friends machine without installing the full version of .NET framework v2. I want the absolute mimimum because my application doesn't use much of the .net controls anyway, mostly console and back up processing.

Looking forward hearing from you all.

Regards