

## Re: memory leak or not?

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp/2006-11/msg00757.html>

---

- *From:* "Cor Ligthert [MVP]" <[notmyfirstname@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:notmyfirstname@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 4 Nov 2006 18:07:30 +0100
- 

Willy,

Everyone knows by now that Jon is right when he said "an instance of a type CAN get GC'd BEFORE the reference, pointing to this instance, leaves it's lexically-enclosing method scope".

But nobody including me has denied that, as well not Marina, who he was correcting with his message.

Cor

"Willy Denoyette [MVP]" <[willy.denoyette@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:willy.denoyette@xxxxxxxxxx)> schreef in bericht [news:OnYasyCAHHA.3836@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:OnYasyCAHHA.3836@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"Cor Ligthert [MVP]" <[notmyfirstname@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:notmyfirstname@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:uc23j2BAHHA.3560@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:uc23j2BAHHA.3560@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

| Jon,

|

| > You are writing here in a style which is \*frequently\* hard to

| > understand – and not just by me.

| >

| Said by probably the record holder of long newsgroups threads.

|

| The normal (question) threads where I am active in are mostly not longer

| than 3.

| One for the question,

| One for my answer

| One for the thanks I get for the answer.

|

| I am in this not speaking about this newsgroup because here I am not so

| active as in 3 other major dotNet newsgroups.

|

| Cor

|

## Re: memory leak or not?

|

Cor,

Everyone knows by now that Jon is right when he said "an instance of a type CAN get GC'd BEFORE the reference, pointing to this instance, leaves it's lexically-enclosing method scope".  
So please, let this thread die if you can agree with this, else start a new thread in which you explain why you think this is not the case.

Willy.