

Re: Thread.Abort

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp/2005-08/msg03288.html>

- *From:* "Willy Denoyette [MVP]" <willy.denoyette@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 17 Aug 2005 10:44:04 +0200
-

"Christoph Nahr" <christoph.nahr@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:dnm5g1pm224c3tdaalcpus74p4hiq33rgv@xxxxxxxxxxx

> Or upgrade to .NET Framework 2.0 where this issue has been fixed!

>

> On Tue, 16 Aug 2005 17:27:27 +0200, "Willy Denoyette [MVP]"

> <willy.denoyette@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>

>>Thread.Abort() is a method you should only call to abort the current
>>thread,

>>the only valid reason to call it on another thread is when you intend to
>>unload the application domain anyway.

>>There are numerous things that can go wrong when aborting another thread,

>>Please search the archives, this has been discussed several times before

>>in

>>this and other NG's.

>>

>>Willy.

> --

> <http://www.kynosarges.de>

True, but I don't believe all issues are fixed, as far as I know
Thread.Abort() will no longer be injected while running exception handling
code blocks, but will be delayed until catch/finally blocks exit, but the
issues remain when executing outside try/catch/finally blocks.

Willy.

.

• *Follow-Ups:*

◆ *Re: Thread.Abort*

◇ *From:* Christoph Nahr

- **References:**

- ◆ **Thread.Abort**

- ◇ From: Urs Vogel

- ◆ **Re: Thread.Abort**

- ◇ From: Willy Denoyette [MVP]

- ◆ **Re: Thread.Abort**

- ◇ From: Christoph Nahr

- Prev by Date: **Looking for a decent data access architecture to implement**
- Next by Date: **RE: Single instance of an object between different processes?**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Thread.Abort**
- Next by thread: **Re: Thread.Abort**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**