

Re: C# Flow Control

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp/2005-07/msg01175.html>

- *From:* "Tim Haughton" <timhaughton@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 08 Jul 2005 07:00:36 GMT
-

> I think the issue in the original post is the confusion of the term
> "return
> codes" versus "return references".
>
> Exception should be used to replace "return codes" where a return code
> defines a type of unexpected problem (DBMS is down, network unreachable).
> For other flow control you can still return something of value – its just
> not called a return code necessarily :-)

Possibly. When I think of return codes, I think of a method returning an
int, then a dirty great switch statement to decide what to do.

Tim Haughton

.

• *References:*

- ◆ **C# Flow Control**
 - ◇ *From:* Dave
 - ◆ **Re: C# Flow Control**
 - ◇ *From:* Tim Haughton
 - ◆ **Re: C# Flow Control**
 - ◇ *From:* jce
-
- Prev by Date: **Re: Can't get past Specified cast is not valid error**
 - Next by Date: **Re: Can't get past Specified cast is not valid error**
 - Previous by thread: **Re: C# Flow Control**
 - Next by thread: **Re: C# Flow Control**
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**