

Re: Unsubscribing from events.

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp/2005-03/3792.html>

From: Pete Davis (pdavis68_at_NOSPAM.hotmail.com)

Date: 03/15/05

Date: Tue, 15 Mar 2005 09:48:14 -0600

That's what my co-worker said, but I just wanted to be sure. Thanks
Nicholas.

Pete

"Nicholas Paldino [.NET/C# MVP]" <mvp@spam.guard.caspershouse.com> wrote in
message news:OcwHGPXKFHA.3184@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...

> *Pete,*

>

> *Yes, it works. I wouldn't waste the field to hold onto this. It's
the*

> *method that is the identity of the delegate, not the instance of the
> delegate itself.*

>

> *Hope this helps.*

>

>

> --

> - Nicholas Paldino [.NET/C# MVP]

> - mvp@spam.guard.caspershouse.com

>

> *"Pete Davis" <pdavis68@NOSPAM.hotmail.com> wrote in message*

> *news:87adnUDn277vYKvfRVn-ow@giganews.com...*

> *>I came across the following code in the .NET Framework:*

> >

> > *((IBindingList) list).ListChanged -= new*

> > *ListChangedEventHandler(this.List_ListChanged);*

> >

> > *In other places, they hold onto a reference to the original event
handler*

> > *instance and unsubscribe that. I've always held the references and*

> > *unsubscribed the original myself.*

> >

> > *Unsubscribing from a new instance instead of the original instance just*

> > *seems wrong. Yet one of my co-workers claims this actually works. Does
it?*

> >

microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp: Re: Unsubscribing from events.

> > *Pete*

> >

> >

>

>