

Re: POP3 Client

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp/2004-09/5820.html>

From: A.M (nospam1_at_online.nospam)

Date: 09/23/04

Date: Thu, 23 Sep 2004 10:38:55 -0400

SMTP and POP3 components are not complicated and Commercial components for SMTP and POP3 are over priced.

I think open source solutions are preferable solution for most TCP/IP components.

Thanks,
Alan

"Jon Skeet [C# MVP]" <skeet@pobox.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1bba455da30a026798b485@msnews.microsoft.com...

> *Nick Malik <nickmalik@hotmail.nospam.com> wrote:*

> > *Granted, the .net classes called the lightweight CDO object, but I don't
> > quite know why you'd need to replace that one... it's not the same as
the*

> > *MAPI CDO class that we used to use a couple of years ago.*

>

> *It still requires CDO to be installed though, which it may not be on
> all boxes. There are various other limitations to the built-in one,
> too.*

>

> > *As for an open source POP3 client...*

> > *perhaps this article will help*

> > <http://www.developerfusion.com/show/4071/>

> >

> > *I would recommend, however, a commercial component.*

> > *Saving a few bucks by reinventing the wheel doesn't make a lot of sense.*

>

> *Nor does spending money when there are high quality open source
> components available, though :)*

>

> --

> *Jon Skeet - <skeet@pobox.com>*

> <http://www.pobox.com/~skeet>

> *If replying to the group, please do not mail me too*