

microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp: RE: Hi Richard Blewett, regarding the CAS post earlier on!

RE: Hi Richard Blewett, regarding the CAS post earlier on!

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp/2004-09/4446.html>

From: Chua Wen Ching (*chua_wen_ching_at_nospam.hotmail.com*)

Date: 09/18/04

Date: Fri, 17 Sep 2004 23:47:01 -0700

Oh ya 1 more thing to confirm, with what you replied earlier.

Are you sure caspol can switch off this:

Master.dll (different master.dll for different clients that needs different requirements) which communicates with a dongle (some security mechanism here) --> communicates --> MyFramework.dll (which had CAS in each methods)

example:

[some mechanism, that if you use this assembly with the right GUID]
public void FuncA();

If the master.dll was found to a different version or GUID, then will reject this function.

Caspol can remove this? Or it removes off the security policy set on the .NET assemblies?

I need to double confirm with you.

"Chua Wen Ching" wrote:

> *Hi there,*
>
> *Sorry for the late reply. I was busy attending Microsoft Teched 2004 Malaysia.*
>
> *Oh i didn't know you can set off the security in CAS.*
>
> *Sigh!*
>
> *on install you could have an encrypted value accessible somewhere that said*
> *what kind of version it was*
> *--> Do you think you can elaborate more on this? You mean during deployment*
> *of my dll to another machine, and check the version? So if i do this, no more*
> *CAS attributes anymore in my codes?*

RE: Hi Richard Blewett, regarding the CAS post earlier on!

microsoft.public.dotnet.languages.csharp: RE: Hi Richard Blewett, regarding the CAS post earlier on!

>

> *I am interested to know more.*

>

> *I thought CAS is everything to my solution, now sad... had to re-think!*

>

> *Thanks.*

> --

> *Regards,*

> *Chua Wen Ching :)*