

Re: VS IDE Blues (or code bloat)

Source: <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.general/2005-01/1366.html>

From: Greg Burns (greg_burns_at_DONT_SPAM_ME_hotmail.com)

Date: 01/12/05

Date: Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:35:55 -0500

http://genamics.com/developer/csharp_comparative.htm

"C# language was built with the hindsight of many languages, but most notably Java and C++."

I would hardly call that a ripoff.

Greg

"tadpole" <tadpole@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message news:B65F3A27-3F5A-4A87-AB0E-F5432A903650@microsoft.com...

> *By the time the bloated .net runtime has fired up to begin doing it's*
> *thing,*
> *my modperl code has already executed and is still resident in memory*
> *servicing other requests. I use both environments every day, and am*
> *constantly porting apps from one environment to another so I have a large*
> *suite of real world data and experience to go on. We use PHP, Python,*
> *Perl,*
> *Java, ASP and C# and have roughly 15 mission critical apps in these*
> *environments. As a lead testing coder I'm in somewhat of a unique position*
> *to*
> *see benchmarks. We've written lots more lines of .net code, but in the end*
> *only 3 apps are running in that environment after 2 years, but all the*
> *rest*
> *were done in other environments in much less time per app. They are easier*
> *to*
> *maintain as well. Same coding conventions, same group of coders.*
>
> *Total Cost of Ownership is really a discussion in and of itself, but what*
> *I*
> *see with the Microsoft Web Application solution set is that I have to pay*
> *for tools that have free variants that are as good or better (and to pay*
> *for*
> *something that I can't fix problems in or customize to my work flow, when*
> *I*
> *can get something that does give me that for free really rankles), then I*
> *have to pay never ending yearly license fees for the web server and the OS*
> *to*

> run it on, and pretty soon from what I've read Micsosoft will be trying to
> get programmers to rent code libraries on a per use basis. I can put up a
> robust (more hardenened that you could possibly do with Windows)
> transactional web site in a week for peanuts using open source tools. I
> only
> have to charge the customer for hardware and labor. If I use Microsoft, it
> takes literally 3 or 4 times as long to do the setup for each machine, and
> the price goes up by a factor of 5 or 6 at least to the customer. Even the
> really good ideas for open source are \$500/pop, but they work. And they
> have
> features that you will not see from a Microsoft IDE until 2007. Me, I
> can't
> afford to wait around.
>
> I don't understand how a company who has that much money can't seem to
> produce something on their own. C# is a bitwise copy of Java. Period. Flat
> out, unabashed ripoff.
>
> I'll ask again, what did I get for all this pain of learning a new
> enviroment that I didn't already have, other than some back pain?
>
> "Greg Burns" wrote:
>
>> Is Perl even compiled? How can it run faster?
>>
>> Greg
>>
>> "tadpole" <tadpole@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message
>> news:92632414-5402-44D5-92F7-127E02B65365@microsoft.com...
>> > >-Code bloat. Well it seems like it takes 5 to 10 times more lines of
>> > >code
>> > >to
>> >>do a job with .net .aspx than it does in Perl. I say work smarter not
>> >>harder
>> >>and go with the fewer lines of code.
>> > Not only is the code smaller, it runs faster.
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>>