

RE: is one language in .NET enough

Source: <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.general/2004-12/0786.html>

From: James (James_at_discussions.microsoft.com)

Date: 12/07/04

Date: Tue, 7 Dec 2004 02:45:07 -0800

I don't see any point in having both c# and vb.net.

I think it was a marketing issue for Microsoft, they were scared that people wouldn't switch over to a whole new language.

Either way you're switching to a new language so they should have had the courage of their conviction and created a single language.

All they are doing is trying to con managers that we are just moving to the next version of c++ and the next version of VB and it won't cause any disruption.

They could have used the time they saved to improve the rubbish visual studio...

"goran vukovic via .NET 247" wrote:

> *(Type your message here)*

> *2 years ago someone claimed multiple languages were an advantage of .NET. COBOL.NET was a hot topic. Does anyone code in J#? Rubbish. Stating the obvious, VB.NET was made for VB and C# for C++ developers to help the transition to .NET. People forget that VB and C++ have different purpose in Windows. In .NET, that difference is supposed to be meaningless. In my opinion, whoever codes a new project in VB.NET lacks style. Dim blah as blah, ? single line statements, ? that is old Greek. The only real purpose of VB.NET is converting the existing VB code (or ASP etc?). Java is a proof that a single language can be perfect. At least, it prevents silly discussions like this.*

> -----

> *From: goran vukovic*

>

> -----

> *Posted by a user from .NET 247 (<http://www.dotnet247.com/>)*

>

> *<Id>vr4thuc+uU2Xd5CdGJgpSA==</Id>*

>