

Re: COM+ Method level security problem

Source:

http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.framework.component_services/2005-04/msg00

- *From:* "Gabriel Lozano-Morán" <gabriel.lozano@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 27 Apr 2005 23:27:16 +0200
-

- > Is this method required in all cases or is it a workaround
- > – if not then why does the Interface generated by VS (with
- > Register for Com interap optin checked) not work?

Because you use method level security you need to explicitly declare an interface.

- > *at first it didn't work but second time it did – is it
- > possible COM+ is caching dll's somewhere – I had COM+ admin
- > consol open the first time?

this is called object pooling and can be disabled with the attribute objectpooling (see

<http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp?url=/library/en-us/cpref/html/frlrfSystemEnterpriseServicesObjectPooli>
but use it wisely :)

Some articles you can read about COM+:

<http://msdn.microsoft.com/msdnmag/issues/01/10/complus/>

<http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp?url=/library/en-us/dnadvnet/html/vbnet11262002.asp>

Gabriel Lozano-Morán

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: COM+ Method level security problem***
 - ◇ *From:* anonymous
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***COM+ Method level security problem***
 - ◇ *From:* Brendon
 - ◆ ***Re: COM+ Method level security problem***
 - ◇ *From:* Gabriel Lozano-Morán
 - ◆ ***Re: COM+ Method level security problem***
 - ◇ *From:* BSmith

Re: COM+ Method level security problem

◆ **[Re: COM+ Method level security problem](#)**

◇ *From:* Gabriel Lozano–Morán

◆ **[Re: COM+ Method level security problem](#)**

◇ *From:* Brendon

- Prev by Date: **[Re: COM+ Method level security problem](#)**
- Next by Date: **[How to reference a remote DLL](#)**
- Previous by thread: **[Re: COM+ Method level security problem](#)**
- Next by thread: **[Re: COM+ Method level security problem](#)**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **[Date](#)**
 - ◆ **[Thread](#)**