

Re: Exception Handling – help!

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.framework.clr/2004-11/0076.html>

From: Jonathan Keljo [MS] (jkkeljo_at_online.microsoft.com)

Date: 11/12/04

Date: Fri, 12 Nov 2004 17:04:31 GMT

Sure, yeah, send it to my return addy. (Drop the "online" to get the real one.)

Agreed on your thoughts about doing the right thing.

Jonathan

This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no rights.

| Reply-To: "David Levine" <noSpamdlevineNNTP2@wi.rr.com>
| From: "David Levine" <noSpamdlevineNNTP2@wi.rr.com>
| References: <DBE66BDF-B746-4077-89EE-8996635E8B78@microsoft.com>
<Oi8ipzTwEHA.4048@TK2MSFTNGP15.phx.gbl>
<8E53C9F9-54C8-4FF9-925A-5FEF78A07018@microsoft.com>
<eYIM7eZwEHA.1408@TK2MSFTNGP10.phx.gbl>
<B81BC8C3-90E4-47BB-BAD1-14AB098DEE44@microsoft.com>
<[uqztQ\\$lwEHA.3624@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl](mailto:uqztQ$lwEHA.3624@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl)>
<1BB07850-5E71-4DD2-8469-061E8FA0B2B7@microsoft.com>
<wJHHlm5wEHA.1288@cpmsftngxa10.phx.gbl>
<eBpSdn6wEHA.1404@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl>
<KZlw2FcxEHA.3984@cpmsftngxa10.phx.gbl>
<#2Ik66fxEHA.1260@TK2MSFTNGP12.phx.gbl>
<1Z9f8drxEHA.3028@cpmsftngxa10.phx.gbl>
<OyVMHcxEHA.2540@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl>
<7hRj0n0xEHA.764@cpmsftngxa10.phx.gbl>
| Subject: Re: Exception Handling – help!
| Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2004 05:13:18 -0600
| Lines: 33
| X-Priority: 3
| X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
| X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2180
| X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2180
| X-RFC2646: Format=Flowed; Original
| Message-ID: <#cs6z99xEHA.2040@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl>
| Newsgroups: microsoft.public.dotnet.framework.clr
| NNTP-Posting-Host: rrcs-67-52-241-252.west.biz.rr.com 67.52.241.252

microsoft.public.dotnet.framework.clr: Re: Exception Handling – help!

| Path:

| cpmsftngxa10.phx.gbl!TK2MSFTFEED02.phx.gbl!TK2MSFTNGP08.phx.gbl!tk2msftngp13
| phx.gbl

| Xref: cpmsftngxa10.phx.gbl microsoft.public.dotnet.framework.clr:12234

| X-Tomcat-NG: microsoft.public.dotnet.framework.clr

| ""Jonathan Keljo [MS]"" <jkeljo@online.microsoft.com> wrote in message
| news:7hRj0n0xEHA.764@cpmsftngxa10.phx.gbl...

| > Send me a link to your manifesto; I'd love to read it.

| I don't have a link to it – can I send it to your return address? (I
| personally don't like to put mine out on a newsgroup because then you get
| bombed with spam).

| >

| > Though the experiment has been shown to not demonstrate what I'd
| > previously

| > thought it did, here's the code anyway:

| >

| <snip>

| Right, functionally it's what I expected. The C runtime libraries adds a
| layer of handling over the low-level OS/Windows subsystem so that's it's
| hard to tell what's really going on. Matt's article explained much but
| not

| everything about what's really going on down there. I think ultimately
| you'd

| have to check the source code to try to distinguish between an OS
| behavior

| versus the behavior that a higher level added on.

| .NET is supposed to hide all these complexities anyway. I think it more
| important that the .net behavior be logically consistent and that it does
| the "right thing" regardless of the underlying OS. For the most part I
| think

| it does a pretty good job.

| regards,

| Dave