

Re: Making a database connection global

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.framework.aspnet/2006-05/msg02129.html>

- *From:* "Terry Jolly" <terry@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 14 May 2006 20:02:27 GMT
-

No one has given a different view yet, except to say I'm wrong and I'm leaving the connection open, which is not true. So, I asked if opening 30+ connections made more sense than one connection if in both cases the connection would be opened the same amount of time (which no-one has yet answered). I really would like to hear another point of view. I have not discounted anything anyone has said. Someone did say:

my suggestion is having one class that just handles commands to database.

your other 30+ classes would just prepare commands and pass them for execution. So you will have central place for working with SqlConnection object

Which I like and am (have been) diagramming/mapping out to see if this would work. Don't be offended about asking for clarity your position better. It doesn't mean I think your wrong.

"Göran Andersson" <guffa@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:uJFn2b2dGHA.1272@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Yes, you are probably right.

He got a lot of answers, but he didn't seem to listen to anyone who didn't already agree with him. Mark Rae even answered him using my own words, so there wasn't much I could add to that. I thought that I'd try a different angle, but I might have taken it a bit too far.

Anyway, if he don't want to listen, a different angle will probably not work anyway.

Re: Making a database connection global

Ray Booyesen wrote:

Hi Göran

Sarcasm like this isn't necessary. Someone asked a question to something that he/she obviously didn't know the correct answer for. It doesn't help answering the question in this sort of vein.

Regards
Ray

Göran Andersson wrote:

Using only one single database connection for a web application? Good one...

You could just as well neuter the web server by only allowing one single thread, as the other threads only will be waiting for the connection to get free.

What database are you using? If you are only going to use a single connection against it you should consider downgrading to something low-grade like MSDE or Access. Or why not anything even simpler like storing the data in text files?

Terry Jolly wrote:

Web Solution

Goal: Have a global database connection
Why: (There will be 30+ tables, represented by 30+ classes) I only want to reference the database connection once.

I put the connection string in the web.config.
I created a class with a static database connection and the class opens and closes the database.

This seems to work so the question: Is there a better way to handle the database connection?

Thanks In Advance.

Re: Making a database connection global