

Re: Are ASP.NET user interfaces essentially dead now?

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.framework.aspnet/2005-12/msg01471.html>

- *From:* "Rob R. Ainscough" <robains@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 8 Dec 2005 12:33:42 -0800
-

As I said,

- 1) Vista includes .NET 2.0
- 2) click once is a managed process and will be more secure WSE 3.0
- 3) .NET 2.0 will be available for mac/linux
- 4) not relevant -- no more blocking than using web services
- 5) see 3)
- 6) .NET 2.0 compact framework for smart devices

No doubt browsers will continue but they will be doing less and less of the interactive interface work -- they are fundamentally not suited to get the job done well along with the myriad of other issues surrounding IE client (or whatever client you choose). Sure I can spend 6 months working on web based app interface, or I can spend 2 months for the same windowsforms based interface and get better functionality with equal exposure to all types of platforms/users.

Continuing using IE for all the interface work is NOT gonna help bring in more customers/users -- most users that see the "Your going to an unsecure web site...blah blah" click on No and that's that -- potential customer gone. Why, because they have there security setup to do that and they didn't even know it -- could have happened because they installed Norton Internet Security. But think about it -- what do you see lining the store shelves -- it is at the point where there are more Antivirus, spyware, internet security tools than another other combine form of applications/games.

Be a user for a day and take yourself out of the developer role -- walk into your local Fry's Electornics or BestBuy or Compusa or whatever software/hardware superstore and look around -- 50% of the software has something to do with keeping you system "clean" -- this is a pretty sad state of affairs. Sticking with an IE client to do the interface ALL the interface work is a dead end regardless of your platform.

Rob.

"Bruce Barker" <brubar_nospamplease_@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

Re: Are ASP.NET user interfaces essentially dead now?

news:OVhAIHC\$FHA.3096@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

> that's MS's hope. there are a couple issues

>

> 1) no MS o/s comes with .net installed. its currently an addon (22mb
> download)

> 2) click once is a great way to spread viruses (as the code does not run in
> a sandbox), and MS has not tackled the author validation issues.

> 3) requires ms o/s (apple is still > 6% share)

> 4) many company firewalls will block click once (maybe even smart clients)

> 5) click once only supported by IE (<90% share).

> 6) more personal devices with browsers

>

> with these issues, and ajax getting popular again, browser apps will be
> around for a while.

>

> -- bruce (sqlwork.com)

>

>

> "Rob R. Ainscough" <robains@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

> news:%23gqpHyB\$FHA.140@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

>> VS 2005 I have:

>> ClickOnce deployment

>> User's that hate and or don't want to use an IE Client (don't blame
>> them)

>>

>> I don't see how ASPX web pages are going to survive? With .NET 2.0 and
>> clickonce deployment my app is 427KB (even with modem dialup speed it
>> doesn't take long to download) -- the user gets a very friendly secure
>> WindowsForm app (most of them don't even notice they're not under IE
>> anymore) that performs considerably faster than any ASP.NET app.

>>

>> The road map as I see it:

>> IE client -- back to static just clickonce links that open up

>> WindowsForms apps

>> Vista -- .NET 2.0 built in (no need to download)

>> WebServices -- called from WindowsForms apps (keeps it secure and
>> firewall friendly)

>> WindowsForms are a HELL of a lot more secure (no IE attached activex
>> components, no data miners, click monitors, etc. etc.)

>>

>> The way I see it -- user interaction is going to move back to
>> WindowsForms since the IE client by definition is just NOT user friendly,
>> NOT programmer friendly, and has a ton of other issues surrounding it in
>> terms of security and performance and flexibility.

>>

>> Don't get me wrong, web development will still exist (web services and
>> basic static content), but I believe anyone doing serious business
>> applications using the web will migrate to this approach -- it really is
>> a win win.

>>

>>

Re: Are ASP.NET user interfaces essentially dead now?

>>
>
>

.

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [Re: Are ASP.NET user interfaces essentially dead now?](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Bruce Barker
 - *References:*
 - ◆ [Are ASP.NET user interfaces essentially dead now?](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Rob R. Ainscough
 - ◆ [Re: Are ASP.NET user interfaces essentially dead now?](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Bruce Barker
 - Prev by Date: [control name change in asp.net 2.0 generated html](#)
 - Next by Date: [Re: "reload page"](#)
 - Previous by thread: [Re: Are ASP.NET user interfaces essentially dead now?](#)
 - Next by thread: [Re: Are ASP.NET user interfaces essentially dead now?](#)
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ [Date](#)
 - ◆ [Thread](#)