

Re: OleDbLiteral

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/DotNet/microsoft.public.dotnet.framework.adonet/2004-12/1496.html>

From: Edward Diener (*diener_no_spam_ok_at_ORsoftware.com*)

Date: 12/29/04

Date: Wed, 29 Dec 2004 09:02:51 -0500

"Paul Clement" <UseAddressAtEndofMessage@swspectrum.com> wrote in message news:aek3t09omc1e2ra32oe7g7gjsjo9vd48a7@4ax.com...

> On Tue, 28 Dec 2004 13:22:26 -0500, "Edward Diener"

> <diener_no_spam_ok@ORsoftware.com> wrote:

>

> > > > > > Does System.Data.OleDb.OleDbLiteral have a purpose or is it

> just

> > > > there

> > > > > to

> > > > > > look good, as if it is used by something when it is not, or

> will

> > > be

> > > > used

> > > > > in

> > > > > > something in the future ?

> > > > > >

> > > > > >

> > > > > > I guess it depends upon how much you work with OLEDB

> interfaces.

> > > It's

> > > > > simply a constant enumerator

> > > > > > that maps to a corresponding enumerator in OLEDB. Similar to

> > > > > > System.Data.OleDb.OleDbType.

> > > > > >

> > > > > >

> > > > > > It does not appear that there is anything in ADO .NET which uses

> it

> > > > since

> > > > > there are no db properties in ADO .NET. Is it meant to be used

> by

> > > > calling

> > > > > ADO from ADO .NET code ? That would be a novel design idea.

> > > > > >

> > > > > >

> > > > > > I've not used it but it appears to be for interfacing with OLEDB,

> not

> ✉ > *ADO.*

> ✉ > ✉

> ✉ > ✉ *How is one supposed to inte*