

Re: USBSER.SYS: where to get a bug-free version?

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Development/microsoft.public.development.device.drivers/2004-09/0150.html>

From: Robby Tanner (*rtanner_at_lightsource.ca*)

Date: 09/02/04

Date: Thu, 2 Sep 2004 17:03:07 -0600

For some strange reason (probably on my end) this has been reposted. Please disregard.

Yes, I'm still using OE....

Rob

"Robby Tanner" <rtanner@lightsource.ca> wrote in message
news:%230PUXO5iEHA.1344@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...

> *Hi all,*

>

> *A poorly implemented network is platform-independent. I can come up with
> similar hassles people have had with Windows-based systems, and horror
> stories of coming in to fix someone else's mess of disparate systems.*

Both

> *O/S's and "business" models have their merits and drawbacks. The ideal
> solution probably doesn't exist and is subjective at best.*

>

> *I would also question the claim about Windows being an "essentially
> non-forked O/S". Although, that's a whole other can of worms that could*

go

> *on forever and still be unresolved. At the very least, MS is moving
towards*

> *a single kernel.*

>

> *I do wonder why Ray assumed that someone who would like MS to give access
to*

> *some code = linux fan. By that logic, everyone on this newsgroup who has
a*

> *copy of the DDK is a linux zealot. Considering that we are now all on the
> same open-source bandwagon, we probably shouldn't be arguing.*

>

> *Rob*

>

>

microsoft.public.development.device.drivers: Re: USBSER.SYS: where to get a bug-free version?

> "Don Burn" <burn@stopspam.acm.org> wrote in message
> news:10is618pe1j0372@corp.supernews.com...
> > Comments inline:
> >
> > "Guillaume" <youbet@rideabike.biz> wrote in message
> > news:uMMQns4iEHA.1712@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...
> > > Ray Trent wrote:
> > > > I wonder if the Linux fans will ever realize that at least half the
> > > > point of keeping Windows closed-source is to prevent forking? Only
an
> > > > essentially non-forked OS can *ever* achieve market dominance.
> > >
> > > I wonder if you realize that for most consumers, including developers,
> > > any product that has market dominance is not in their best interest
> > > whatsoever.
> >
> > So you believe that a highly fractured market is best. I was a Unix
> > compiler guy, we sold the compiler for \$2000+ and paid that and more for
> > software tools. We needed a publishing package, and paid \$10,000 back
> > then.
> > Having a single platform in the PC and a dominate OS from Microsoft have
> > been the major reason we all have computers today.
> >
> > > Your example works actually against your point: what's important for
> > > the end-user is compatibility, not dominance. And most Linux
derivatives
> > > are fairly compatible. Something to think about.
> >
> > I have a friend who got stuck merging several groups Linux servers
> > together,
> > this has been a 6 month nightmare for him starting with the discovery he
> > couldn't even access the other machine from NFS since the
implementations
> > were incompatible (including a no-go on two rev's or Red Hat). In the
end
> > he had to transfer everything to a SUN server then pull it down to the
> > target, just to get the files across. He has told half of his users,
they
> > will never see the apps running again, so get over it, since the 5
flavors
> > of Linux were that incompatible.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Don Burn (MVP, Windows DDK)
> > Windows 2k/XP/2k3 Filesystem and Driver Consulting
> > Remove StopSpam from the email to reply
> >
> >
>
>

Re: USBSER.SYS: where to get a bug-free version?