

Re: XML/A Performance

Source: <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Data/microsoft.public.data.xmlanalysis/2004-02/0014.html>

From: Wayne M (wmdev_at_yahoo.co.uk)

Date: 02/12/04

Date: 12 Feb 2004 07:35:53 -0800

We are using Integrated Security.

Akshai, your theory seems to support our evidence. If authentication is being done for every request, then it will be slow. It seems that the XML/A proxy tries to connect as anonymous first (I think this confirms to the HTTP 1.1 spec), it gets a HTTP 401 status code and then it will resend with authentication; this time a successful HTTP 200 is returned. The evidence for this is in our IIS logs. There are two posts to msxisapi.dll for every request – the first comes back with a 401, the second is fine with a 200.

Both this and Mosha's earlier post regarding Nagling are real concerns for us. We have a web clustered architecture with a user base of thousands, and we have engineered our application to be responsive by issuing relatively small executes, therefore a 200ms latency becomes more obvious.

Going forward, ADOMD.NET provides a very nice object model, but if it is based on XML/A rather than OLEDB then it too will perform badly.

Are both of these issues being looked at for the XML/A 1.1 release in a few weeks time?

Wayne

"Akshai Mirchandani [MS]" <akshaim@online.microsoft.com> wrote in message news:<OAmUe6O8DHA.3288@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl>...

> *Were you using Integrated Authentication or was it Anonymous? My belief is
> that this perf issue is being caused by the fact that we didn't properly
> support Keep-Alive of HTTP 1.1 and so for authenticated connections the TCP
> connection was being destroyed and re-created for every request. This would
> also cause authentication to be done for every message instead of only once
> for the first connection.*
>
> *Thanks,*
> *Akshai*