

## Re: compare 2 recordsets

---

*Source:* <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Data/microsoft.public.data.ado/2007-09/msg00072.html>

---

- *From:* "RB Smissaert" <[bartsmissaert@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bartsmissaert@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 29 Sep 2007 17:04:26 +0100
- 

Would Seek know that the rs is sorted so stop seeking if it is past the sought value?  
This made me think that maybe a double loop could be faster as you could set the start point and do exit do or exit loop when it has past the value.  
What complicates matters is the delete. What happens to the position in the recordset after a delete of the one single current record? Will it be in the next of the previous.  
How would a filter work?  
Still haven't worked it out yet.

RBS

"Bob Barrows [MVP]" <[reb01501@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:reb01501@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message <news:%23W97UzqAIHA.5160@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

RB Smissaert wrote:

I now have something that does work (and that is a start), but performance is not very good:

```
rsNode.MoveFirst
Do While rsNode.EOF = False
strCriterium = strParentField & " = " & rsNode.Fields(INodeField)
If rsParentNode.FindNext(strCriterium) = False Then
rsNode.Delete adAffectCurrent
End If
rsNode.MoveNext
Loop
```

I think the FindNext method is the problem and maybe this is better with a double loop, knowing that both recordsets are sorted ascending of the ID field.

I think you'll be better off using either Seek or Filter rather than FindNext. I would be surprised if a loop would outperform either of those methods.  
And make sure you use disconnected client-side recordsets for this so you can free up the connections.

Re: compare 2 recordsets

—  
Microsoft MVP – ASP/ASP.NET

Please reply to the newsgroup. This email account is my spam trap so I don't check it very often. If you must reply off-line, then remove the "NO SPAM"