

Re: Remote office

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/BackOffice/microsoft.public.backoffice.smallbiz2000/2004-09/1271.html>

From: Marina Roos [SBS-MVP] (marina_at_roos.nodontwantspam.nl.com)

Date: 09/26/04

Date: Sun, 26 Sep 2004 17:54:17 +0200

Hi Dave,

Maybe this whitepaper helps?:

<http://www.microsoft.com/technet/prodtechnol/sbs/2000/maintain/remotofc.msp>

--

Regards,

Marina

Microsoft SBS-MVP

"Dave Claxon" <maintenance@NOSPAM.fitcen.bogus.com> schreef in bericht
news:ev8WM%239oEHA.3428@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...

> Merv,

>

> That is actually what the original plan had been, until we decided on a
> security system that runs on its own computer (Linux, but that's a
different

> problem), and that is the one we need to monitor from home office. So
while

> there will only be one employee at a time, there will be more than one
> computer. It seems the easiest way to connect both computers is to make
the

> VPN from a server. (If there's an easier way to connect one Windoze
> workstation and one Linux at the same time, I'm open to suggestions.)

Which

> then lead to the possibility of a third computer, to avoid using the
server

> as a workstation. Which then brings us back to mu original question, if we
> have a server at the remote office, can it be a backup domain controller
to

> the SBS at the main office?

>

> Thanks,

> Dave

>

> "Merv Porter [SBS-MVP]" <mwport@no_spam_hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:%234vKDInoEHA.1988@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...

> > If there's only going to be one person at a time in the remote office,
why

> > not set up a WinXP Pro workstation in the main office and dedicate it
for

> > remtoe office use only? You'd only need one workstation at the remote

> > office and an Internet connection. Then VPN into the main office and

fire

microsoft.public.backoffice.smallbiz2000: Re: Remote office

> > up an RDC session with the dedicated WinXP machine and remote control it
> > from there. Again, everything will be done on the LAN and you'd have
> > centralized administration of data, backups, etc.
> >
> > --
> > Merv Porter [SBS MVP]
> > =====
> > "Dave Claxon" <maintenance@NOSPAM.fitcen.bogus.com> wrote in message
> > news:ebGgrtloEHA.2304@TK2MSFTNGP14.phx.gbl...
> >> Merv,
> >>
> >> Thanks for the answer, but I don't think performance will really be an
> > issue
> >> in this case, because I don't think there will be that much traffic.
> > Remote
> >> office will only be staffed part time, only one person at a time, and
not
> > a
> >> lot of computer use will be part of their job. Occasional e-mail, and
> > when
> >> a member comes in they will need to log in to the member database at
the
> >> main office. Mainly we will need to connect from the main office to
check
> >> the computer that will run the security system at the remote office.
> >>
> >> Dave
> >>
> >> "Merv Porter [SBS-MVP]" <mwport@no_spam_hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:ucFmpFQoEHA.1176@TK2MSFTNGP12.phx.gbl...
> >> > Dave:
> >> >
> >> > You may find that VPN (even with broadband) is fairly slow for file
> >> sharing,
> >> > email, etc. A better solution may be to put a Terminal Server (with
> > Win2K
> >> > server on it) next to the SBS server at the main office and have the
> >> remote
> >> > office access it. It's fast because only screens shot (no actually
> > data)
> >> > will be transmitted and the remote user's "experience" will be far
> > better.
> >> > Also, all the remote user's files will be centrally located at the
main
> >> > office for nightly backup and disaster recovery. Your SBS covers
the
> >> Win2K
> >> > Server CALs for your remote users and if they are Win2K or WinXp, the
> >> > TS
> >> > CALs are covered by TS 2000.
> >> >
> >> > You can get an idea of the speed difference by comparing a straight
VPN
> >> and
> >> > then manipulating files vs creating and TS session to the server
(like
> > > you
> >> > probably do now for remote administration)
> >> >
> >> > Using SBS 2000 with Terminal Services in Application Server Mode
> >> >
> >>

