

## Re: Do not want data to auto sort

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Access/microsoft.public.access.tablesdbdesign/2005-08/msg00157.html>

---

- *From:* "Rick B" <Anonymous>
  - *Date:* Thu, 4 Aug 2005 13:29:08 -0500
- 

I use Jet .mdb and .mdw files everyday but – guess what – I don't use Access.

Hmmm – I thought this was an Access tablesdesign newsgroup?

In short, you are deluding yourself if you think viewing data in the Access UI is the 'real' table.

Well, if Access has no tables, then perhaps you should complain to Microsoft about the name of this newsgroup.

As I previously stated, I'm sure your long VERY DETAILED VERY SPECIFIC answers are real helpful to someone who asked how to stop his tables from sorting. Thanks for sharing your wonderful knowledge with us and correcting the wording that is used to try to help a newer user resolve his issue. I'm certain YOUR posts were the ones that answered his questions. NOT!!!

Get a life moron.

<peregenem@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
<news:1123169998.656251.74790@xx>

>

> Rick B wrote:

>> Again, is this adding anything useful to the conversation? Your little

>> semantics are interesting, but don't really add anything to the topic.

>

> You admit you knew nothing about cursors. This isn't semantics, this is

> fundamentals. How, then, can \*you\* contribute to a discussion about

> sorting data? Then you accuse me of having nothing useful to add!

>

>> As far as the interface comment, if you will read the previous posts,

## Re: Do not want data to auto sort

you

> > will find that many newer users work directly in the tables. They add data,

> > view data, sort, delete, etc. They do not take advantage of the objects

> > designed for this purpose. They use the table as a user interface to the

> > database. An interface is the screen used to allow a user to communicate

> > with the computer. In most cases, this would be a form, but many newer

> > users mistakenly use the tables.

>

> Ah, I think I've worked out where you've been going wrong. You may be

> in for a shock here but Access is not a database. Access is a database

> management tool and a forms-based Windows application development

> platform. The 'database' in question is usually Jet (it could be

> something else but let's not complicate matters; when someone says

> 'Access database' you can usually take it as read that they mean 'Jet

> database').

>

> Access does not 'own' Jet; more like the other way round because the

> elements Access requires (Forms, Reports, UI settings, etc) are stored

> in the Jet database.

>

> I use Jet .mdb and .mdw files everyday but – guess what – I don't use

> Access. I have an application built on another platform which uses ADO

> to manipulate the data and schema. It's a lot cheaper than a licence:

> from MSDN I can download MDAC 2.5, which includes Jet, and then upgrade

> to the latest MDAC plus the latest Jet service pack, all for free. The

> .mdb format is freely distributable and can be created using ADO.

>

> So, for example, if I want to look at the data in the Employees table

> in Northwind I'll retrieve a pre-save connection string to Northwind

> and type, or rather extract from by interrogating the schema) a SQL

> query such as

>

> SELECT EmployeeID, LastName, FirstName, ... FROM Employees

>

> then open a recordset write a the first portion of the data to a grid

> control on a form.

>

> When you do the same in the Access UI you essentially go through the

> same processes. I'm going out on a limb here (because, as I've already

> admitted, I haven't used Access much) but I'm guessing that rather than

> looking at the table itself (whatever that means) you are in fact

> looking at the results of a recordset (or very similar object) on a

> form on screen. If there is an ability to sort this view then I wager

> behind the scenes the recordset is being sorted and the view refreshed.

>

> In short, you are deluding yourself if you think viewing data in the

> Access UI is the 'real' table. You may not have designed the form

> yourself, written the SQL or instantiated a recordset but that doesn't

Re: Do not want data to auto sort

- > mean they are not their, lurking under the covers. If you have been
- > tricked into thinking you are looking at the 'actual' table, then hats
- > off to the Access designers!
- >

---

• **References:**

- ◆ **Do not want data to auto sort**
    - ◇ *From: Rod*
  - ◆ **Re: Do not want data to auto sort**
    - ◇ *From: Rick B*
  - ◆ **Re: Do not want data to auto sort**
    - ◇ *From: peregenem*
  - ◆ **Re: Do not want data to auto sort**
    - ◇ *From: Rick B*
  - ◆ **Re: Do not want data to auto sort**
    - ◇ *From: peregenem*
  - ◆ **Re: Do not want data to auto sort**
    - ◇ *From: Rick B*
  - ◆ **Re: Do not want data to auto sort**
    - ◇ *From: peregenem*
- 
- Prev by Date: **Re: Subdatasheets with Linked Tables in Access 2003**
  - Next by Date: **Re: Subdatasheets with Linked Tables in Access 2003**
  - Previous by thread: **Re: Do not want data to auto sort**
  - Next by thread: **Re: Do not want data to auto sort**
  - Index(es):
    - ◆ **Date**
    - ◆ **Thread**