

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Access/microsoft.public.access.reports/2007-08/msg00256.html>

- *From:* Klatuu <Klatuu@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 10 Aug 2007 08:10:20 -0700
-

If you don't want to show the header on the first group, you can use some simple VBA to do that.
Open the report in design view.
Right Click on the group header and you will get the properties dialog
Select the Events Tab
Select On Format by clicking on the small command button to the right of it with the 3 dots.
Select Code Builder.

The VBA editor will open with the cursor positioned in the sub.
Enter the following (sort of, I'll show the differences next)

```
If Me.txtGroupType = 0 Then
Me.GroupHeader1.Visible = False
Else
Me.GroupHeader1.Visible = False
End If
```

Now the differences.
txtGroupType is the name of the text box where you will show the group type or the group description.
= 0 should be the value you will expect for the first group
GroupHeader1 is usually the default name for the first group header.

That should take care of hiding the header for the first group.

As to the sorting after that. I would not bother to sort the query. You can use the next Group in the Sorting and Grouping dialog. It will sort on whatever field or fields you select, but not including the first one already identified. So everything that starts with 0 (using my example), will be in the first group, but then if you use say contract type(using my example), The report will show the contract type in order for each group. For example:

```
0 A
0 B
0 C
Group 1
1 A
1 C
```

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

1 D

Just don't select a group header or footer for the contract group.

--

Dave Hargis, Microsoft Access MVP

"Chels" wrote:

OK scratch that! The grouping is working.... I didnt have to change anything I just didnt notice it was working the first time. What confused me is it is putting the group header in front of both groups. I only want to header in front of the second group. How do I get the first header to go away? Do I need to put that first list in it's own group without a header?

I still dont know what to do about the sorting though.... should i add my sorting field to the query? If so can I tell the query not to make the sorting field visible or do I need to do that in the report like I did with the List ID field?

--

Chels

"Chels" wrote:

OK you lost me a bit....

So the settings for my grouping are all fine? What do you mean by "You should be grouping by groupType"?

How do I make a second group below? Am I making these groups to tell them

which records to put in which group? I dont really understand the properties very much, sorry. Am I telling it to group ListID 0 together and ListID 1 in another group? Will I need to add anything to my query?

If I am not understanding you sorry I am new to the grouping thing!

--

Chels

"Klatuu" wrote:

You group settings are correct. You should be grouping by GroupType. The field I showed in my example that separates the list. You can also use the sorting and grouping to order the groups. Just create another group below

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

the first group, but don't identify any headers or footers for the group.

--

Dave Hargis, Microsoft Access MVP

"Chels" wrote:

Thank you so much! Using the union query to identify the lists worked wonderfully. I am running into more problems now though.

I have another field I usually put in my queries just for sorting to keep everything in order because the Unit #s dont keep themselves in the proper order. How can i tell the query to sort with a field but not show it? When I view the query everything is in the right order but when I view the report they're not. Also I am a little confused as to how to do the grouping so there is a header between the two lists. I did find the sorting and grouping and i told it to group based on List ID. This is what I have

ListID Group Properties: (I have List ID sorting Ascending, I dont know if I should but I can't figure out how to tell it not to sort)

Group Header: Yes

Group Footer: No

Group On: Each value (Is this correct?)

Group Interval: 1 (?)

Keep together: No

I have a header of shutdown in front of the whole list but the two lists arent sorting properly or seperating like they are in the query list.

--

Chels

"Klatuu" wrote:

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

The two lists are separate.
That is why you could use a
Union query to put
the together on one report.
You will have to make sure
you can order the
union query so the lists are
in the order you want. Also,
to present a lable
between lists and to keep the
list separate, you could use
the report
Sorting and Grouping and
group by the list identifier.
The use a Group
Header and you can put a
label in the group header.

Here is an example of how
to use the Union Query to
identify the lists:

```
SELECT 0 AS ListID,  
SomeField, AnotherField,  
AnyField FROM FirstList;  
UNION ALL  
SELECT 1 As ListID,  
SomeField, AnotherField,  
AnyField FROM  
SecondList;
```

The output of your query
will now have a field named
ListID. You would
group on ListID and sort on
ListID in the report.

Here is an example where I
do exactly this in a report.
Note the first
calculated field is used to
sort the two and the second
field is what I use
for the Group Header
Labels:

```
SELECT 0 As GroupType,  
"Active Contracts With No  
Start Date" As  
Group_Description,  
ContractType, ClientName,
```

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

```
PropertyName FROM  
qselMonActRptNoStart;  
UNION ALL SELECT 1 As  
GroupType, "Inactive  
Contracts With No End  
Date" As  
Group_Description,  
ContractType,  
ClientName,PropertyName  
FROM  
qselMonActRptNoEnd;
```

--

Dave Hargis, Microsoft
Access MVP

"Chels" wrote:

Will that
allow me to
keep the
two lists
seperate?
They can't
be mixed
together.
Also would
it be
possible to
put a label
before the
second list
starts?

--

Chels

"Klatuu"
wrote:

Have
you
tried
using
a
Union
query

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

to
join
the
two
lists
together
and
using
that
as
the
record
source
of
the
report?

--

Dave
Hargis,
Microsoft
Access
MVP

"Chels"
wrote:

You
guys
have
saved
me
before
so
I
hope
you
can
do
it
again!
I
am
using
Access
2000.
I
am
trying
to

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

create
a
report
that
will
display
two
lists
of
Unit
numbers
with
remarks
for
each
Unit
number.
I
want
the
lists
to
be
one
after
the
other
but
I
would
also
like
them
to
be
in
columns
because
the
data
is
not
very
detailed
but
there
are
a
lot
of
units.

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

I
have
had
no
problem
with
creating
one
report
displayed
in
3
columns
for
one
list
of
numbers.
I
thought
I
could
add
a
subreport
with
the
other
list
of
numbers
and
it
would
put
it
at
the
end
of
the
first
list.
That
didn't
work.
The
Subreport
will
only

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

show
as
many
rows
as
will
fit
in
the
area
I
delegated
for
the
subreport
in
design
view.
Also
the
subreport
keeps
putting
itself
in
numerical
order
using
the
first
unit
number
in
it's
list.
I
don't
know
how
it
is
doing
it
but
I
want
it
to
go
at
the

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

end
of
the
first
list.

Then
I
tried
making
a
report
with
a
subreport
for
each
list.

The
first
subreport
goes
in
fine.

Then
the
second
subreport
(the
one

I
tried
adding
as
a
subreport
in

my
first
attempt)
again
will
only

show
the
number
of
units
it

can
fit

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

in
the
area
it's
given
and
it
keeps
putting
itself
in
order.
I
even
tried
putting
these
subreports
side
by
side
in
design
view
to
see
if
it
would
give
me
two
lists
side
by
side
but
again
it
will
only
show
the
limited
units
and
it
is
STILL
putting
itself

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

in
numerical
order
with
the
first
just
beside
it.

Does
anyone
know
why
these
things
are
happening?

I
have
never
tried
using
subreports
so
maybe
i
am
doing
this
totally
wrong?

I
am
adding
the
subreports
to
the
"details"
section
so
this
is
also
making
me
have
HUGE
spaces
between

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

RE: Weird Problem with a Subreport

entries.
Also
it
doesn't
matter
if
the
page
is
setup
to
be
in
columns
or
not
I
get
the
same
problems.

Thank
you
for
any
help!
--
Chels