

Re: Network access

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Access/microsoft.public.access.modulesdaovba/2008-12/msg00276.html>

- *From:* NTC <NTC@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 6 Dec 2008 08:01:02 -0800
-

no, you are fundamentally wrong as a point of fact; multi user has always required multi Front Ends; one per person. that multiusers using a single Front End would work (sometimes) is not uncommon but can not be exaggerated to the level of belief that it was ever the correct or intended design/implementation. Thus the fact that it is less viable with a newer version can not be twisted into a criticism that the newer version is less good. There is no brand database where 2 different users in the same user space is intended or guaranteed to work.

"David H" wrote:

It is very well known fact that the same Access .mdb file can be opened multiple times by the same user or by multiple users. I'm merely stating life as it is.

"NTC" wrote:

that is snide and poor logic overall....

think about it – 2 people in the same database user space simultaneously; it should not be possible – – that it is possible with XP and prior versions is the flaw....and has always lead to unpredictable behavior....

"David H" wrote:

" I can run one instance over the network, but not two at once, unless the second instance is from the folder in which the app resides. "

1) What do you mean by that? Regardless of were the front end is located, if you open it and it starts and then you open THE SAME FILE

Re: Network access

you should get two instances. Period, that's just life.

"All computers on the network are Vista Home Premium"
2) No comment.

"I am told this works as desired on another, all-XP, network."
3) Yes it most likely CORRECTLY runs on WindowsXP.

If you deploy the Front Ends out as Tom mentioned not only will you be consistent with an Access best-practice, but you'll be able to get over this hurdle.

In short, the problem is most likely VISTA.

Now do those ProjectMohave commercials make sense. The vast majority of corporate America has refused to implement Vista for a wide variety of issues summed up in one word – VISTA, which in some languages (or so I'm told) roughly translates to "I suck."
<http://weblog.infoworld.com/save-xp/>

"Tom van Stiphout" wrote:

On Tue, 02 Dec 2008 14:14:52 -0800, Geoff Turnbull
<codistic@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

You are violating an important deployment rule.
Access apps should be split in front-end (everything but tables, and attached tables) and back-end (tables). The BE should be on a server, the FE on every workstation. Violate this rule and tar & feathers lie in your immediate future :-)

-Tom.
Microsoft Access MVP

I have an Access VBA app on a network folder that I'd

Re: Network access

like to run simultaneously by multiple users. I can run one instance over the network, but not two at once, unless the second instance is from the folder in which the app resides. The second instance doesn't even get to the security warning. I can run one instance from any computer on the network—so it seems not to be a matter of permissions, and can run multiple instances of a C++ app (which opens the Access .mdb file that hosts the VBA app). All computers on the network are Vista Home Premium. I am told this works as desired on another, all-XP, network. I'd appreciate any ideas on this problem. If there's a better forum, please advise. Thanks, Geoff.