

Re: Variables out the wazoo...

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Access/microsoft.public.access.modulesdaovba/2005-11/msg00686.html>

- *From:* Joshua K Briley <JoshuaKBriley@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 22 Nov 2005 15:04:03 -0800
-

Sorry to offend.

--

Somecallmejosh

"Larry Daugherty" wrote:

- > The HTH above my name at the end of my responses is an acronym for
- > "Hope This Helps". "Helps", not "hurts" or "hinders" or "harms your
- > self esteem".
- >
- > I asked the questions because I wanted to know. The knowledge to be
- > communicated in the answers would help in addressing the issues. Very
- > little is so obvious that it doesn't require stating or verification.
- > Have you ever looked at the witty parse of ASSUME? ASS-U-ME
- >
- > Semantics are important. Review the definitions of semantics and
- > you'll see that it isn't just a put-down. Nomenclature and
- > terminology and jargon are required in order to communicate
- > efficiently and effectively. Some rigor is required. Otherwise we're
- > continually placing the burden of inferring our meanings on the
- > recipient. "That thingie over there" doesn't communicate much when we
- > have to rely on just the written/printed word.
- >
- > We Software Engineers used to sneer at mere programmers. Now the
- > lines are blurred and, sure enough, I'm a programmer (on my good
- > days).
- >
- > You didn't answer the "Access, Excel or other ..." question. You
- > simply responded with another question which you seem to think
- > constitutes a self evident truth. How wrong can you be? Lurk the
- > Access newsgroups thoroughly for a week. Count the posts that have
- > nothing to do with MS Access. One MVP has a script that he can hotkey
- > into his responses that advises folks that they have posted into an
- > Access newsgroup and that they should post into a newsgroup devoted to
- > their application instead.
- >
- > I'm not interested in pissing contests. My response to your post was

Re: Variables out the wazoo...

> a sincere attempt to help you. That you chose to interpret it as an
> attack on you is your business.
>
> Just think, if you had responded to the questions with pertinent
> answers you might already have had more useful information in hand and
> be well on the way to solving your problems. I would rather that
> outcome. That's what I'm here for.
>
> You have lots of options toward finding solutions. Just a few among
> them: you can continue this thread with answers you choose to give and
> I or someone else will pick up the thread and try to help you. You
> can break out of this thread and start a new one. In that case, I'll
> try to have the presence of mind not to get involved. Same thing goes
> in that you can start another thread in a different Access newsgroup.
> Since you expressed that your issue was with a report you might try
> microsoft.public.access.reports
>
> What ever you decide, Good Luck.
>
> HTH
> --
> -Larry-
> --
>
> "Joshua K Briley" <JoshuaKBriley@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
> message news:3AF5FF32-4895-43F8-ADEE-8A6CE820FE6A@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>> Larry,
>>
>> Apparently we're getting caught up in semantics here. Yes, of
> course the
>> field is associated with a query... which is associated with a
> table. In an
>> effort to minimize my statement, I chose to eliminate the obvious
>> information. The issue at hand is an issue of logic that a
> programmer
>> (which I'm not) may help me better understand.
>>
>> And to answer your question:
>> "Are you struggling in Access or in Excel or some other
> application/platform?"
>>
>> This is an Access programming forum, right?
>>
>>
>> Somecallmejosh
>>
>>
>> "Larry Daugherty" wrote:
>>
>>> While you may be working toward the concept of a report I don't
>>> believe you are using the Access Report Designer. You'll get to

Re: Variables out the wazoo...

Re: Variables out the wazoo...

> it by
>>> opening Database|Reports, clicking on the New command button and
> away
>>> you go.
>>>
>>> If you were using the Report Designer you'd find all kinds of
> features
>>> such as Sorting and Grouping that would ease your life
> considerably.
>>>
>>> You'll find it easy to handle any number of "x" or "y" entries and
> to
>>> determine the mean for each in the footer of its group.
>>>
>>> You write "This field is tied directly to a table.". Huh? Are
> you
>>> struggling in Access or in Excel or some other
> application/platform?
>>> In Access, reports receive data from queries. But you are talking
>>> about a calculated value and referring to being tied to a field.
> I
>>> suspect that you are storing calculated data in a table in your
>>> database. That just should not be done in a relational database.
>>>
>>> In short, if you are using Access and you use the Report Designer
> and
>>> appropriate queries then if your data design is correct you can
> report
>>> to any level of complexity or granularity.
>>>
>>> To get more specific answers you'd have to post your issues with
> your
>>> data design spelled out in detail.
>>>
>>> HTH
>>> --
>>> -Larry-
>>> --
>>>
>>> "Joshua K Briley" <JoshuaKBriley@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote
> in
>>> [message news:847EB15C-0D71-46F1-8CB7-02EB0D91C1AB@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:847EB15C-0D71-46F1-8CB7-02EB0D91C1AB@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)
>>>> I've got a report that captures the following information...
>>>> ("---->" indicates sub-grouping)
>>>>
>>>> [Location]
>>>> ----->--[testType] Can be one of several test types, where
> similar
>>> test
>>>> types are
>>>> grouped together. This can be either [xx], [y1],

Re: Variables out the wazoo...

Re: Variables out the wazoo...

> [y2],
>>>> [yInfinity] where all
>>>> the [xx] test types would be combined, and all the
> [y_]
>>> test types
>>>> would be
>>>> combined.... Each would be averaged separately from
> one
>>> another,
>>>> and
>>>> then the two averages would be averaged together.
> This
>>> field is
>>>> tied directly
>>>> to a table.
>>>>
>>>> Here's the catch.... the [y_] test types can come in
>>> batches of
>>>> varying
>>>> amounts. These batches are based on dates.
> Therefore,
>>> the
>>>> batches
>>>> can contain any number of samples. These samples are
>>> batched
>>>> together
>>>> based on a sample collection date. In essence, the
>>> batches are
>>>> combined
>>>> to equal one test.
>>>>
>>>> [testResults] of each test type, that should be
> grouped
>>> together,
>>>> are then
>>>> averaged, resulting in a daily average for each test
>>> type.
>>>> Again, there can
>>>> can be multiple (an unlimited number) results for
> similar
>>> test
>>>> types. This
>>>> is the average of the [xx] test types, and the
> average of
>>> the
>>>> [y_] test types,
>>>> averaged separately. Again, this field is tied
> directly
>>> to a
>>>> table.
>>>>

Re: Variables out the wazoo...

Re: Variables out the wazoo...

>>>> [dailyAverages] of each test type are then averaged
>>> together, to
>>>> give a
>>>> monthly average, for the location. This is an unbound
>>> field.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> -----> Monthly average – the results of the multiple
>>> averages per
>>>> location as defined in the Test Type area above. This too, is
> an
>>> unbound
>>>> field.
>>>
>> -----
>>>> Right now I'm trying to group everything with IIf statements,
> but
>>> that is
>>>> not working. How can I separately combine the different test
> types,
>>>> accounting for the batches, prior to averaging them together,
> and
>>> then loop
>>>> back to grab those results and then average the averaged results
>>> together,
>>>> per location.... completely independent of other locations? Any
>>> help on this
>>>> isse will be greatly appreciated.
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Somecallmejosh
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>
>
.

• **References:**

- ◆ **Re: Variables out the wazoo...**
 ◇ From: Larry Daugherty
- ◆ **Re: Variables out the wazoo...**
 ◇ From: Joshua K Briley
- ◆ **Re: Variables out the wazoo...**
 ◇ From: Larry Daugherty

• Prev by Date: **Re: vba reference libraries**

Re: Variables out the wazoo...

- Next by Date: ***Re: programatically changing the summary of the file – Huh?***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Variables out the wazoo...***
- Next by thread: ***Re: programatically changing the summary of the file – Huh?***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***