

# Re: Recordset in Memory

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Access/microsoft.public.access.modulesdaovba/2005-05/msg01371.html>

---

- *From:* "John Spencer (MVP)" <[spencer4@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:spencer4@xxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 22 May 2005 12:28:51 -0400
- 

If you are using standard Access MDB then I know of no way to do what you have asked other than using an array to hold the information or using a temporary database and tables in that temp db. Both ideas have been suggested to you already.

SO, your statement is apparently correct.

Michael wrote:

>  
> So, I gather, there is no way to accomplish what I want. Is that correct?  
>  
> Michael  
>  
> "Douglas J. Steele" wrote:  
>  
>> Consider putting your temporary tables in a temporary database.  
>>  
>> Tony Toews shows one way of doing this at  
>> <http://www.granite.ab.ca/access/temptables.htm>  
>>  
>> --  
>> Doug Steele, Microsoft Access MVP  
>> <http://I.Am/DougSteele>  
>> (no e-mails, please!)  
>>  
>>  
>> "Michael" <[Michael@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Michael@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
>> [news:C8454DBF-11B1-441B-829B-03C0700E91F7@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:C8454DBF-11B1-441B-829B-03C0700E91F7@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>> Since I run these procedures on a regular basis, I just have temporary  
>>> tables  
>>> set up for this purpose and delete all the records each time I need to,  
>>> but  
>>> the database gets bloated. For example, my .mdb file is usually 11MB, but  
>>> after running this process once, it grew to 123MB – eventhough all the  
>>> temporary records had been deleted. I have to compact the .mdb each time  
>>> to  
>>> get it back to it's normal 11MB size.  
>>>

## Re: Recordset in Memory

>>> I was just wondering if there's a way to populate a recordset in memory,  
>>> use  
>>> it, and then remove it from memory when done without having to store it to  
>>> a  
>>> table first. I don't think an array would work because the number of  
>>> records  
>>> I need for the temporary recordset is different each time.  
>>>  
>>> Thanks,  
>>>  
>>> Michael  
>>>  
>>> "Brian Stoll via AccessMonster.com" wrote:  
>>>  
>>>> I haven't tried it, but can't you delete a table from code? When you're  
>>>> done using it, IE: in the Exit\_someThing\_someFunction, you could delete  
>>>> the  
>>>> form you created...  
>>>>  
>>>> Sorry, I don't know of a way to do it all in memory – unless you save all  
>>>> the fields in the recordset as a variable, then you aren't creating a new  
>>>> table, just a bunch of variables.  
>>>>  
>>>> --  
>>>> Message posted via <http://www.accessmonster.com>  
>>>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
.

---

### • References:

- ◆ **Recordset in Memory**  
    ◇ From: Michael
- ◆ **Re: Recordset in Memory**  
    ◇ From: Brian Stoll via AccessMonster.com
- ◆ **Re: Recordset in Memory**  
    ◇ From: Michael
- ◆ **Re: Recordset in Memory**  
    ◇ From: Brian Stoll via AccessMonster.com
- ◆ **Re: Recordset in Memory**  
    ◇ From: Michael
- ◆ **Re: Recordset in Memory**  
    ◇ From: Douglas J. Steele
- ◆ **Re: Recordset in Memory**  
    ◇ From: Michael

- Prev by Date: **Re: Recordset in Memory**
- Next by Date: **Re: Recording users using a DB**

Re: Recordset in Memory

- Previous by thread: ***Re: Recordset in Memory***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Recordset in Memory***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***